

REMARKS

In the present Supplemental Amendment, both the claims as well as some portions of the specification have been amended. In particular, Applicants have provided subclaims for the three independent claims 96-98 as Applicants previously requested to do so. Note, that for *each* of the Claims 96-98, its new subclaims are similar in wording (and in claim ordering) to the set of new subclaims for *each* of the other independent Claims 96-98. That is, new subclaims 99-169 are dependent on Claim 96; new subclaims 170-240 are dependent on Claim 97; and new subclaims 241-313 are dependent on Claim 98. Moreover, Claims 99, 170 and 241 are similar in content; Claims 100, 171 and 242 are similar in content; Claims 101, 172 and 243 are similar in content, etc. Thus, *corresponding new subclaims are at increments 71*.

Applicants have also amended various portions of the Claims 96-98. However, it is believed that such amendments do not affect the patentability of these claims. In particular, the claim clause identified as “(b)” in each of the Claims 96-98 has been amended so that it is easier to understand than the previous version thereof (and in Claim 98 the clause identified as “(c)” has also been amended similarly).

The term “geolocation” is used throughout the claims, this term is intended to refer to the phrase: “a representation of at least one of: a geographical location or a geographical extent”. Thus, it is requested that the Definition section of the specification be amended, as provided in the amendments hereinabove, to recite this meaning of “geolocation”. However, the Applicants will replace all occurrences of “geolocation” in the claims with the referred to phrase (or appropriate equivalents thereof) if the Examiner believes the claims will be clearer. It is believed, however, that one of ordinary skill in the art will not have difficulty understanding the claims as they are currently written, and moreover, adding such alternative wording may make

the claims more difficult to understand by those of ordinary skill in the art. As an aside the term “geolocation” occurs only in the following specification passage:

“In cases where Distributed Antennas (DAs), and/or Home Base Stations (HBS) are used, each location of these devices can be sent to the mobile station. There are at least three format-types possible in conveying this type of location information in a **GeoLocation Message**. First, a unique identifier can be assigned to each DA/HBS, such as a fully distinguished name. An example of location information could be: Within the USA, State of Colorado, city of Denver, with Service Provider xyz, BS ID 129, Distributed Antenna number 8. Or more compactly, the location string is structured as, “USA.CO.DEN.xyz.129.DA8”. Secondly, an easy-to-understand human style data message can be sent, such as, “You are near the 30th floor of the Sears Tower building”. Third, data values for Latitude, Longitude, and possibly altitude and accuracy could be sent from the BS or Location Center to the mobile station/LU. In order to be most easily useful to and end-user, in the first and third cases, a database would be needed within the mobile station or a Personal Digital Assistant device, which performs a translation of numerical data into a form useful for human understanding.” (Page 89, lines 5-19).

Accordingly, it is believed the addition of the “geolocation” description adds no new matter to the present application.

The term “consequence” has been replaced with the phrase “results in” or a variation thereof (e.g., “result of”) in the independent Claims 96-98. Upon viewing various definitions of “consequence”, it is believed that the phrases used instead of “consequence” have a broader

scope that is more consistent with what Applicants wish to claim. In particular, Applicants intend the meaning of the various “result” phrases to be interpreted more broadly than some apparent meanings of “consequence” that may necessarily impose a strict time ordering upon two events. For example, the clause: “performing the first geolocation related task that has as a *consequence* a performance of a first geolocation computation”, might be interpreted as necessarily imposing a strict time ordering upon the “first geolocation related task” and the “first geolocation computation” wherein the “first geolocation related task” must be completed before the “first geolocation computation” occurs. Applicants intend the meaning of the word “result” to (at least in occurrences where it replaces the word “consequence”) to be interpreted as follows: if event A is a result of event B, then there is at least some causal relationship between event A and event B, and additionally, the event A occurs during or subsequent to the performing of event B. Thus, in the context of the clause: “performing the first geolocation related task that has as a *result* a performance of a first geolocation computation”, the first geolocation computation occurs during or subsequent to the performing of the first geolocation related task”.

Claim 92 has been amended to be consistent with the terms recited in Claim 97 upon which it now depends.

Claim 93 has been cancelled since one of the newly added claims recites a similar limitation, and Applicants thought it best to maintain the ordering of the new subclaims so that it is easier on the Examiner to correlate corresponding subclaims for each of the independent Claims 96-98.

Accordingly, since all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance, it is requested that the present application be reconsidered.


Applicants greatly appreciate the Examiner’s patience in allowing time for Applicants to

Application Serial No.: 09/820,584

provide the additional subclaims herein. Also enclosed is a check in the amount of \$1,926.00 as payment for the addition of 214 subclaims (minus the one cancelled claim). If any other fees are due, the undersigned Applicant requests a phone call at 303-863-2975.

Respectfully submitted,

By:


Dennis J. Dupray
Registration No. 46,299
1801 Belvedere Street
Golden, Colorado 80401
Phone: (303) 863-2975

Date:

Aug. 5, 2004